

ORIGINAL DPD PROPOSAL	SURVEY RESPONSE	PRCC POSITION	FINAL CITY PROPOSAL
Ownership requirement: eliminate current requirement entirely	59 % oppose 32% favor	Maintain requirement/restrict DADU use as short-term rentals	Maintain ownership requirement for 1 year, then eliminate
Lot size: Reduce to 3,500 SF from 4,000 SF	55% oppose 31% favor	Oppose (privacy, green space, CO2 reduction)	Reduce to 3,200 SF
Permit DADU and in-law on same property in all cases (currently one but not both)	48% oppose 38% favor	Permit both only in limited numbers, depending on context	Permit DADU and in-law on same property in all cases
Eliminate current parking requirement	51% oppose 39% favor	Regulation should depend on context (e.g., present parking situation.) If both DADU and in-law, then must always provide one space	Eliminate current parking requirement
Remove garage and storage space from maximum DADU size calculation	52% oppose 32% favor	Allow this on large lots only (e.g., over 5,000 SF)	Remove garage and storage space from calculation AND raise DADU size limit from 800 to 1,000 SF AND allow additional roof features AND raise lot coverage from 40% to 60% if one story (but don't change overall lot-coverage reqs.)
Increase current height limits to add 2-4 ft. on lots more than 40 ft. wide	54% oppose 36% favor	Limit DADUs to 1-1/2 floors, gabled roof but not 2 nd floor, DADU should not exceed ht. of	Raise ht. limits; would be 17-25 ft. depending on lot width.

		principal structure, AND enact design guidelines	
Change existing entrance-location requirements— allow anywhere as long as 5 ft. from property line	47% oppose 35% favor	Requirement should depend on context, e.g., lot size and configuration. Emphasize privacy	Allow anywhere as long as 10 ft. from property line